

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARY HELDT, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AMERICAN INVSCO CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:12-cv-01107-APG-NJK

ORDER DENYING
MOTION TO COMPEL
(Docket No. 60)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to compel. Docket No. 60. In light of the stay entered by District Judge Gordon, Docket No. 64, that motion is hereby DENIED without prejudice. Once the stay is lifted, Plaintiffs may renew that motion. The Court notes that there has been an attempt to meet and confer on the motion, but the Court continues to believe that a full, "personal consultation" regarding the specifics of the motion could resolve or narrow the issues. Accordingly, before the pending motion is renewed, counsel are required to have a meaningful, personal consultation regarding the specific issues in the motion.¹ To the extent counsel are unable to schedule a time to meaningfully confer, Plaintiffs' counsel shall notify the Court and it will order a time for the counsel to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 17, 2013



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ That consultation must go beyond the exchange of emails and letters, and must include an in-person or telephonic meeting of counsel.